# Safety, effectiveness, and immunogenicity of mpox vaccines in infants, children, and adolescents: a living systematic review and meta-analysis

Agustin Ciapponi, Mabel Berrueta, Jamile Ballivian, Agustina Mazzoni, Ariel Bardach, Juan Manuel Sambade, Martin Brizuela, Katharina Stegelman, Florencia Salva, Daniel Comande, Edward Parker, Andy Stergachis, Xu Xiong, Flor Munoz, Pierre Buekens

To enable PROSPERO to focus on COVID-19 submissions, this registration record has undergone basic automated checks for eligibility and is published exactly as submitted. PROSPERO has never provided peer review, and usual checking by the PROSPERO team does not endorse content. Therefore, automatically published records should be treated as any other PROSPERO registration. Further detail is provided <u>here</u>.

#### Citation

Agustin Ciapponi, Mabel Berrueta, Jamile Ballivian, Agustina Mazzoni, Ariel Bardach, Juan Manuel Sambade, Martin Brizuela, Katharina Stegelman, Florencia Salva, Daniel Comande, Edward Parker, Andy Stergachis, Xu Xiong, Flor Munoz, Pierre Buekens. Safety, effectiveness, and immunogenicity of mpox vaccines in infants, children, and adolescents: a living systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2024 Available from <u>https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/view/CRD42024586205</u>

# **REVIEW TITLE AND BASIC DETAILS**

#### **Review title**

Safety, effectiveness, and immunogenicity of mpox vaccines in infants, children, and adolescents: a living systematic review and metaanalysis

## Original language title English

## **Review objectives**

Primary review questions1) What is the safety profile of mpox vaccine candidates and licensed vaccines administered during childhood regarding adverse events and children's outcomes?

2) How effective are mpox vaccine candidates and licensed vaccines in preventing and protecting children from the disease?

3) What immune response is associated with mpox vaccine candidates and licensed vaccines, and what is its duration in vaccinated children?

4) What are the long-term safety concerns of mpox vaccination (efficacy, safety) in children?

Secondary review questions

1) Is there differential safety, effectiveness, and efficacy of mpox vaccine candidates and licensed vaccines in children?

2) Is there differential safety, effectiveness, and efficacy of mpox vaccines in children by country, country income level, or geographic region?

## Keywords

adolescents, children, living systematic review, mpxox, safety, vaccines

# SEARCHING AND SCREENING

#### Searches

An experienced librarian will search the Cochrane Library databases, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature (LILACS), Science Citation Index Expanded (SCI-EXPANDED), EPPI-Centre, WHO Database of publications on mpox virus, mpox- related congresses and laboratory reports, guidelines published by national and international professional societies (e.g., ACOG, RCOG, FIGO), preprint servers (e.g., ArXiv, BiorXiv, medRxiv, search.bioPreprint), and mpox research websites. We will search all the above databases from January 1970 to the present. No language restrictions will be applied. The searches will be updated every two weeks to incorporate new relevant reports as they become available. Besides, we will hand-search the reference lists of the identified systematic reviews and include studies to identify relevant studies missed by our search strategy. Ongoing randomized controlled trials will be tracked in Clinicaltrial.gov and other trial registers (WHO, etc.).

## Study design

Any mpox vaccination schedule will be included in the study, including for pre-exposure or post-exposure prophylaxis. Experimental, quasi-experimental, and observational (comparative and non-comparative) study designs, irrespective of publication status, publication year, and language. We will include randomized controlled trials (all Phases I-IV), non-randomized trials, controlled before-after studies,

nationwide uncontrolled before-after studies, interrupted time series, controlled-interrupted time series, and adverse events/safety registries, real-world Phase IV studies, cohort studies, case-control, cross-sectional studies, and case series. Case reports for previously unknown or unexpected adverse events will be included. Preclinical studies will be included to assess the outcomes of interest in the vaccine candidates.

# ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Condition or domain being studied Mpox virus vaccines

**Population** Children up to 18 years old (0 to < 18).

#### Intervention(s) or exposure(s)

Mpox (smallpox) vaccines used in children regardless of the dose and schedule.

#### Comparator(s) or control(s)

Active or inactive comparators without interventions under study, usual care, or placebo. Non-comparative studies will be included; therefore, a control group will not be mandatory.

#### Context

There is no context limitation.

# OUTCOMES TO BE ANALYSED

#### **Main outcomes**

1. Safety outcomes

a. Serious adverse events (SAEs) and all-cause mortality related to vaccination in children.

b. Hospitalization due to serious adverse events.

c. Adverse events of Special Interest (AESI) post-vaccination in children. The AESIs include (but are not limited to) all the events listed in the CEPI-SPEAC AESI list for mpox vaccines available here https://speacsafety.net/tools/aesi-lists/mpox/.

#### Measures of effect

Odds ratios (ORs), Risk ratios (RRs), and Hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for dichotomous outcomes, and Mean difference (MD) or Standardized MD (SMD) for continuous outcomes will be estimated. Regarding efficacy/effectiveness, we

will report Vaccine efficacy (VE). We will also calculate proportions with 95% CIs for non-comparative studies.

#### Additional outcomes

2. Efficacy/effectiveness:

a. Asymptomatic mpox infection: determined by antibody (four-fold rise) or antigen detection in asymptomatic individuals.

b. Confirmed, probable, and suspected mpox infections. Our target definition (based on the WHO-recommended case definition) for confirmed cases includes persons with laboratory-confirmed MPXV infection by detection of unique sequences of viral DNA by rt-PCR and/or sequencing.

Our target definition of probable cases includes:

A person with an unexplained acute skin rash, mucosal lesions, or lymphadenopathy. The skin rash may include single or multiple lesions in the anogenital region or elsewhere. Mucosal lesions may include single or multiple oral, conjunctival, urethral, penile, vaginal, or anorectal lesions; and

One or more of the following: an epidemiological link to a probable or confirmed case of mpox in the 21 days before symptom onset; multiple and/or casual sexual partners in the 21 days before symptom onset; or a positive test result for orthopoxviral infection Our target case definition for suspected cases includes:

A contact of a probable or confirmed mpox case in the 21 days before the onset of signs or symptoms and who presents with any of the following: acute onset of fever (>38.5°C), headache, myalgia, back pain, profound weakness, or fatigue; or

A person with an unexplained acute skin rash, mucosal lesions, or lymphadenopathy. The skin rash may include single or multiple lesions in the anogenital region or elsewhere. Mucosal lesions may include single or multiple oral, conjunctival, urethral, penile, vaginal, or anorectal lesions. Common causes of acute rash or skin lesions should not fully explain the clinical picture.

c. Confirmed mpox case resulting in severe disease (including hospitalization).

b) Immunogenicity:

-immune cellular and humoral responses and duration (IgM, IgG neutralizing antibodies in serum and cellular response markers). -magnitude and duration of antibody response

c) Additional outcome(s)

1. Viremia from vaccination: magnitude and duration of viremia (based on PCR testing).

#### Measures of effect

Odds ratios (ORs), Risk ratios (RRs), and Hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for dichotomous outcomes, and Mean difference (MD) or Standardized MD (SMD) for continuous outcomes will be estimated. Regarding efficacy/effectiveness, we will report Vaccine efficacy (VE). We will also calculate proportions with 95% CIs for non-comparative studies.

# DATA COLLECTION PROCESS

## Data extraction (selection and coding)

#### Selection

A pair of review authors will independently screen each title and abstract. Any potentially relevant full-text study reports/publications will be retrieved and reviewed independently by two authors, recording the reasons for excluding the ineligible studies. Disagreements will be resolved through discussion with the review team. This process will be performed using the Nested knowledge platform. Data extraction and management:

Study data will be collected and stored using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted and maintained by IECS. Each REDCap study ID will include a general form where the principal characteristics of the studies will be included and outcome-specific forms will be generated to extract data to independently assess each endpoint reported in the studies for every outcome. The data extraction will be piloted on a sample of at least ten studies before its formal start-up. Pairs of review authors will independently extract data from included studies in a REDCap form and will resolve disagreements through a discussion with the review team. If needed, we will contact the study authors by e-mail to specify any missing data that may not be reported sufficiently in the publication. Funding source information will be sought for every study included in the LSR.

Data items to consider for extraction from included studies will include identification, items, methods, participants, group allocation, intervention, outcomes, risk of bias, and summary of results.

## Risk of bias (quality) assessment

1) Randomized controlled trials: we will use the Cochrane risk of bias tool - version 2 (RoB2)

2) Non-randomized studies of interventions: we will use the ROBINS-I tool.

3) Controlled before-after studies: we will assess baseline measurement, characteristics for studies using the second site as control, blinded assessment of primary outcome(s), reliable primary outcome measure(s), follow-up of professionals (protection against exclusion bias), and follow-up of patients.

4) Uncontrolled before-after studies: we will use the same criteria as controlled before-after studies, with the exception of baseline measurement and characteristics for studies using the second site as a control.

5) Interrupted time series: we will assess the risk of bias associated with the following seven domains: intervention independent of other changes, shape of intervention effect pre-specified, intervention unlikely to affect data collection, blinding of outcome assessors to intervention allocation, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other sources of bias.

6) Controlled interrupted time series studies: we included three additional domains that assess design-specific threats to validity: imbalance of outcome measures at baseline, comparability of intervention and control group characteristics at baseline, and protection against contamination.

We will present GRADE certainty of evidence in the 'Summary of findings' tables for main outcomes.

# PLANNED DATA SYNTHESIS

#### Strategy for data synthesis

If data are available and methodologically appropriate, we will undertake the aggregate meta-analyses for each comparison according to the Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews of Interventions and use the pre-random-effects meta-analysis for the primary analysis. We will also perform proportion meta-analyses to summarize frequencies from one-sample studies. We will use R statistical software to analyze the data. The main packages selected for data analyses will be Meta, Metafor, and Tidyverse. We will estimate HRs, RRs, ORs, MDs, or SMDs with 95% CI. We will also estimate proportions with 95% CI for non-comparative studies. To report efficacy/effectiveness outcomes, we will transform other outcome measures into vaccine efficacy/effectiveness (VE) whenever possible by calculating the risk of disease among the vaccinated and the comparative group and determining the percentage reduction in disease risk among vaccinated persons relative to the control group. We will use adjusted effect measures (e.g., by age, smoking status, parity, body mass index, etc.) over unadjusted estimates. We will investigate heterogeneity through subgroup analyses.

We will provide a frequently updated online and interactive app to present available data and main findings.

#### Analysis of subgroups or subsets

Pre-specified subgroups by route (subcutaneous vs. intradermal vs intramuscular) by infant age (e.g., 0-<1 year, 12 months to 4 years, 5 to 11 years, 12-17 years), country income level (high or low- and middle-income country), region (based on the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation categorization), by individual mpox vaccine and/or platform, or by immunosuppression status. Additional sensitivity analyses will be undertaken by excluding high-risk bias studies.

# REVIEW AFFILIATION, FUNDING AND PEER REVIEW

#### **Review team members**

- Dr Agustin Ciapponi, Instituto de Efectividad Clinica y Sanitaria
- Dr Mabel Berrueta, Instituo de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria
- Dr Jamile Ballivian, Instituto de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria
- Agustina Mazzoni, Instituto de Efectivdad Clínica y Sanitaria
- Ariel Bardach, Instituto de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria
- Juan Manuel Sambade, Instituto de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria
- Martin Brizuela, Instituto de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria
- Katharina Stegelman, Instituto de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria

- Florencia Salva, Insituto de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria
- Daniel Comande, Insituto de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria
- Dr Edward Parker, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine
- Dr Andy Stergachis, School of Pharmacy and School of Public Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA
- Dr Xu Xiong, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Tulane University, New Orleans
- Dr Flor Munoz, Departments of Pediatrics and Molecular Virology and Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX
- Dr Pierre Buekens, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Tulane University, New Orlean

#### **Review affiliation**

Instituto de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria

## **Funding source**

SPEAC \u2013 under Special Populations Work Group and Vor CEPI

#### Named contact

Agustin Ciapponi. Dr. Emilio Ravignani 2024, C1414CPV CABA aciapponi@iecs.org.ar

# TIMELINE OF THE REVIEW

#### **Review timeline**

Start date: 18 September 2024. End date: 20 June 2025

#### Date of first submission to PROSPERO

17 September 2024

# Date of registration in PROSPERO

30 September 2024

# CURRENT REVIEW STAGE

#### **Publication of review results**

The intention is to publish the review once completed. The review will be published in English

# Stage of the review at this submission

#### **Review stage**

Started

Completed

Pilot work

Formal searching/study identification

Screening search results against inclusion criteria

Data extraction or receipt of IP

Risk of bias/quality assessment

Data synthesis

protocol writing

#### **Review status**

The review is currently planned or ongoing.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

#### **PROSPERO** version history

- Version 1.1 published on 30 Sep 2024
- Version 1.0 published on 30 Sep 2024

#### **Review conflict of interest**

None known

#### Country

Argentina

#### **Medical Subject Headings**

Adolescent; Child; Humans; Immunity; Infant; Protective Agents; Smallpox Vaccine; Vaccination; Vaccines

#### Disclaimer

The content of this record displays the information provided by the review team. PROSPERO does not peer review registration records or endorse their content.

PROSPERO accepts and posts the information provided in good faith; responsibility for record content rests with the review team. The owner of this record has affirmed that the information provided is truthful and that they understand that deliberate provision of inaccurate information may be construed as scientific misconduct.

PROSPERO does not accept any liability for the content provided in this record or for its use. Readers use the information provided in this record at their own risk.

Any enquiries about the record should be referred to the named review contact